# NONNEGATIVE AND SKEW-SYMMETRIC PERTURBATIONS OF A MATRIX WITH POSITIVE INVERSE

#### **GIUSEPPE BUFFONI**

ABSTRACT. Let A be a nonsingular matrix with positive inverse and B a nonnegative matrix. Let the inverse of A + vB be positive for  $0 \le v < v^* < +\infty$ and at least one of its entries be equal to zero for  $v = v^*$ ; an algorithm to compute  $v^*$  is described in this paper. Furthermore, it is shown that if  $A + A^T$  is positive definite, then the inverse of  $A + v(B - B^T)$  is positive for  $0 \le v < v^*$ .

#### **1. INTRODUCTION**

Let

(1) 
$$A + vB$$

be an  $n \times n$  real matrix, where A is a nonsingular matrix with positive inverse ([5, 2, 1]), B ( $B \neq 0$ ) a nonnegative matrix and v a nonnegative real parameter,

(2) 
$$A^{-1} > 0, \quad B \ge 0, \quad B \ne 0, \quad v \ge 0.$$

The parameter v may be considered as a measure of the size of the nonnegative perturbation vB of the matrix A. Let

(3) 
$$Z(v) = (A + vB)^{-1} = [z_{i}(v)].$$

For v = 0, we have  $Z(0) = A^{-1} > 0$ ; thus,  $\det(A + vB) \neq 0$  and Z(v) > 0in a sufficiently small neighborhood of 0. This paper addresses the problem of finding the largest, possibly infinite, number  $v^*$  such that A + vB is nonsingular and Z(v) > 0 in  $[0, v^*)$ . We will describe an algorithm (the iterative process (6)) to compute  $v^*$  if  $v^* < +\infty$ . In the case  $v^* = +\infty$ , the successive approximations defined by (6) form a sequence diverging monotonically to  $+\infty$ .

We shall consider also matrices of the type

(4) 
$$C(v) = A + v(B - B^{1});$$

here the matrix A is perturbed by a skew-symmetric matrix which may be written as  $B - B^{T}$  with  $B \ge 0$ . It will be shown that if  $A + A^{T}$  is positive definite, then  $C^{-1}(v) \ge Z(v) > 0$  in  $[0, v^{*})$ , where Z is defined by (3).

©1990 American Mathematical Society 0025-5718/90 \$1.00 + \$.25 per page

Received July 26, 1988; revised November 23, 1988 and January 18, 1989.

<sup>1980</sup> Mathematics Subject Classification (1985 Revision). Primary 65F30.

Numerical calculations have been performed by using the matrix involved in the discrete analog of the integro-differential equation

(5) 
$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial t} = \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[ p \frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \right] + q [u_0 - u] + v \int_0^1 K(x, x') [u_0(x') - u(x')] dx'$$

with boundary conditions u(0) = u(1) = 0, where p(x) > 0,  $q(x) \ge 0$ ,  $u_0(x) \ge 0$ , and  $K(x, x') \ge 0$ . Equation (5) is a model for a spatially distributed community whose migration has both a random and a special deterministic component; more complicated models (*n*-species communities, nonlinear) can be obtained including birth-death processes, competition and predator-prey interactions [4]. A direct finite difference approach to (5) provides a discrete approximation **u** of the steady state solution *u* satisfying an equation of the type  $(A + vB)\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{f} \ge 0$ , where A + vB is of type (2); the positivity of its inverse assures the positivity and the stability of **u**.

# 2. The inverse of A + vB

**Lemma 1.** Assume (2) and let  $det(A + vB) \neq 0$  and Z(v) > 0, with Z(v) as defined in (3). Then Z'(v) < 0 and Z''(v) > 0.

*Proof.* From the identity (A + vB)Z(v) = I we obtain

$$Z' = -ZBZ, \qquad Z'' = -2ZBZ' = 2ZBZBZ,$$

where  $Z' = dZ/dv = [z'_{ij}]$  and  $Z'' = dZ'/dv = [z''_{ij}]$ . As  $B \ge 0$ ,  $B \ne 0$ , and Z(v) > 0, there follows Z'(v) < 0 and Z''(v) > 0.  $\Box$ 

**Lemma 2.** Under the assumptions of Lemma 1, let  $v_{\alpha}$  be the largest number such that  $\det(A + vB) \neq 0$  in the interval  $[0, v_{\alpha})$ . Then, either  $v_{\alpha} = +\infty$ , or an element of Z(v) must change sign in  $[0, v_{\alpha})$ .

*Proof.* As  $v \longrightarrow v_{\alpha}$ , at least one entry of Z(v) must become infinite. Otherwise, in any interval  $[0, v_{\beta})$  where Z(v) > 0 we have Z'(v) < 0 (Lemma 1); therefore, Z(v) is bounded in  $[0, v_{\beta})$ ,

$$0 < Z(v) \le Z(0) = A^{-1}.$$

It follows that  $v^* = \max v_\beta \le v_\alpha$ , with strict inequality if  $v^* < +\infty$ , because  $0 \le Z(v^*) \le A^{-1}$ . When  $v^* < +\infty$ , the thesis follows from  $Z'(v^*) \le 0$  and Lemma 1 (note that the entries  $z_{ij}(v)$  cannot vanish identically).  $\Box$ 

**Theorem 1.** Let  $v^*$  be the largest, possibly infinite, number such that Z(v) > 0 in  $[0, v^*)$ . Then  $v^*$  is the limit of the sequence  $\{v_k\}$  given by

(6) 
$$v_{k+1} = v_k + \min_{i,j; w_{kij} > 0} z_{kij} / w_{kij}, \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots, n; v_0 = 0,$$

where  $Z_k = Z(v_k) = [z_{kij}], W_k = -Z'(v_k) = Z_k B Z_k = [w_{kij}].$ 

*Proof.* Let  $v_{ij}^*$  be the smallest value of v for which  $z_{ij}(v) = 0$ , if such a value exists, or  $+\infty$  otherwise. We have  $v^* = \min_{i,j} v_{ij}^*$ . In  $[0, v^*)$ , the matrix Z(v) does not have singularities (Lemma 2) and its entries are strictly

decreasing and convex functions of v (Lemma 1). These regularity conditions on the entries  $z_{ij}(v)$  allow us to obtain the sequence  $\{v_k\}$ , given by (6), as follows: we compute the Newton steps for the elements of the equation Z(v) = 0 and use the smallest of them to update v.

The first iteration, with starting value  $v_0 = 0$ , produces the equations  $z_{ij}(0) + v z'_{ij}(0) = 0$ , where  $z_{ij}(0) > 0$  and  $z'_{ij}(0) < 0$ . The smallest solution of these equations is the first approximation  $v_1$  in (6) and it is the largest value of v for which

$$Z(0) + vZ'(0) = A^{-1} - vA^{-1}BA^{-1} \ge 0.$$

As Z(v) > Z(0) + vZ'(0) for  $0 < v < v^*$ , we have  $v_1 < v_{ij}^*$ , i, j = 1, 2, ..., n; therefore,  $0 < v_1 < v^*$  and  $Z_1 > 0, W_1 > 0$ .

The successive approximations  $v_k$  are defined as follows. Suppose we have computed the approximation  $v_k$ , for some k > 0, for which we have  $0 < v_k < v^*$ ,  $Z_k > 0$ ,  $W_k > 0$ . We compute the Newton steps starting from the value  $v_k$ , common to all the equations  $z_{ij}(v) = 0$ ; this produces the equations  $z_{ij}(v_k) + (v - v_k)z'_{ij}(v_k) = 0$ . The approximation  $v_{k+1}$  (the smallest solution of these equations) is the largest value of v for which

$$Z(v_k) + (v - v_k)Z'(v_k) = Z_k - (v - v_k)W_k \ge 0$$

and it is given by (6). As  $Z(v) > Z(v_k) + (v - v_k)Z'(v_k)$  for  $v_k < v < v^*$ , we have  $v_{k+1} < v_{ij}^*$ , i, j = 1, 2, ..., n; therefore  $v_k < v_{k+1} < v^*$  and  $Z_{k+1} > 0$ ,  $W_{k+1} > 0$ . We conclude that the sequence  $\{v_k\}$  is increasing, bounded from above by  $v^*$  if  $v^* < +\infty$ , and convergent to  $v^*$  (note that  $\{v_k\}$  cannot converge to a limit  $v_1^* < v^*$  since this would imply  $(v_{k+1} - v_k) \rightarrow \min_{i,j} z_{ij}(v_1^*)/|z_{ij}'(v_1^*)| > 0$ ).

When  $v^* = +\infty$ , all the entries  $z_{ij}(v)$  are positive, strictly decreasing, and convex functions of  $v \in [0, +\infty)$  (the only possible solution of each equation  $z_{ij}(v) = 0$  is  $v^* = +\infty$ ). If the sequence  $\{v_k\}$  were bounded, then it would be convergent:  $v_k \rightarrow v_1^* < +\infty$ ; as above, we would have  $(v_{k+1} - v_k) \rightarrow \text{constant} > 0$ . Thus,  $\{v_k\}$  is not bounded and it is diverging monotonically to  $+\infty$ .  $\Box$ 

*Remarks.* (a) It is possible to show that the sequence  $\{v'_k\}$  given by

$$v'_{k+1} = v'_k + \min_{i,j; w_{0ij} > 0} z_{kij} / w_{0ij}, \qquad k = 0, 1, 2, \dots; v'_0 = 0,$$

is convergent to  $v^*$ , if  $v^* < +\infty$ , or divergent to  $+\infty$  otherwise.

(b) Only for very small n (the first few integers) can we obtain the analytic expressions of the entries  $z_{ij}(v)$  (i, j = 1, 2, ..., n) and find their zeros to evaluate  $v^*$ . The application of the iterative process (6) involves the numerical computation of the inverses  $Z_k$ , and each iteration requires  $O(n^3)$  operations; however, the method has been applied successfully with n equal to 30, 40, and 50 (for example, by using matrices from one-dimensional boundary value problems).

(c) We can show the quadratic convergence [3, p. 260] of the process (6) when  $v^* < +\infty$  and  $Z'(v^*) > 0$ . We introduce in (6)  $z_{kij}$  obtained from Taylor's formula

$$z_{ij}(v^*) = z_{kij} - (v^* - v_k)w_{kij} + \frac{1}{2}(v^* - v_k)^2 z_{ij}''(v_{kij}),$$

where  $v_k \leq v_{kij} \leq v^*$ . After some manipulations we have

$$v^* - v_{k+1} = \min_{i, j; w_{kij} > 0} \left[ \frac{1}{2} (v^* - v_k)^2 z_{ij}''(v_{kij}) - z_{ij}(v^*) \right] / w_{kij};$$

thus, as  $z_{ij}(v^*) \ge 0$  and  $w_{kij} > 0$ , it follows that

$$s_{k+1} \leq \max_{i,j;w_{kij}>0} z''_{ij}(v_{kij})/w_{kij} \to \max_{i,j} z''_{ij}(v^*)/|z'_{ij}(v^*)|,$$

where

(7) 
$$s_{k+1} = (v^* - v_{k+1})/(v^* - v_k)^2.$$

3. The inverse of  $C(v) = A + v(B - B^{T})$ 

**Theorem 2.** Let the symmetric matrix  $A + A^{T}$  be positive definite. Then, in  $[0, v^{*})$  the spectral radius h of the nonnegative matrix

(8) 
$$H(v) = vZ(v)B^{\mathsf{T}}$$

is less than 1, and  $C^{-1}(v) \ge Z(v) > 0$ .

*Proof.* The matrix C(v) given by (4) is now written as

$$C(v) = (A + vB)[I - H(v)],$$

where H(v) is given by (8). In  $[0, v^*)$  we have Z(v) > 0; it follows that  $C^{-1}(v) \ge Z(v) > 0$  if the spectral radius h(v) = r(H) of the nonnegative matrix H(v) is less than 1 [5, p. 83]. To the spectral radius h there corresponds an eigenvector  $\mathbf{u} \ge 0$ ; from the eigenvalue equation  $vB^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{u} = h(A + vB)\mathbf{u}$  we obtain

$$h = v\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}B\mathbf{u}/(\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}A\mathbf{u} + v\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}B\mathbf{u}).$$

We have  $\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}A\mathbf{u} = \frac{1}{2}[\mathbf{u}^{\mathrm{T}}(A + A^{\mathrm{T}})\mathbf{u}] > 0$ , because  $A + A^{\mathrm{T}}$  is assumed positive definite. Thus, as  $v \ge 0$ ,  $\mathbf{u} \ge 0$ ,  $B \ge 0$ , it follows that h < 1.  $\Box$ 

Remarks. By means of simple examples it is possible to show that:

- (a) The condition  $A + A^{T}$  positive definite is not necessary to have h(v) < 1,  $0 \le v < v^{*}$ .
- (b) The condition  $H(v) \ge 0$ ,  $0 \le v < v^*$ , is not sufficient by itself to have h(v) < 1.

## 4. NUMERICAL RESULTS

As a sample problem we use the matrix A + vB obtained from a finite difference approximation to (5) using central differences and the trapezium rule.

Here we present the results obtained by assuming in (5) that p = 1, q = 0, and  $K(x, x') = \exp(-(x - x')^2)$  (sample problem 1). In this case, A is a Stieltjes matrix [5, p. 85] and B is a positive matrix. The inverses  $Z_k$  are computed by means of the routine LINV2F of the IMSL Library. The results (double-precision computation) are shown in Table 1. The quantities  $s_k$ , given by (7), tend to a constant value confirming quadratic convergence. Values of v greater than  $v^*$ , for which some computed entries of Z(v) are less than zero are reported in the row \*.

# TABLE 1 Values of $v_k$ and of $s_k$ for the sample problem 1 for different values of the mesh spacing 1/m.

	m = 30		m = 40		m = 50	
k	$v_k$	$s_k$	$v_k$	s <sub>k</sub>	$v_k$	$s_k$
0	0.		0.		0.	
1	5.145497	0.0658	5.076475	0.0678	5.035965	0.0690
2	8.172000	0.0491	8.018864	0.0496	7.929456	0.0499
3	8.820264	0.0505	8.633288	0.0510	8.524586	0.0517
4	8.842959	0.0508	8.653839	0.0514	8.543958	0.0517
5	8.842985	0.0508	8.653861	0.0513	8.543978	0.0516
6	8.842985		8.653861		8.543978	
*	8.85		8.66		8.55	

TABLE 2Values of  $v_k$  and of  $s_k$  for the sample problem 2for different values of the mesh spacing 1/m.

	m = 30		m = 40		m = 50	
k	$v_k$	$s_k$	$v_k$	s <sub>k</sub>	$v_k^{}$	$s_k$
0	0.		0.		0.	
1	0.411695	1.8439	0.299168	2.5543	0.234883	3.2660
2	0.471457	0.2874	0.341517	0.3880	0.267634	0.4885
3	0.472521	0.2899	0.342236	0.3912	0.268175	0.4924
4	0.472521	0.2881	0.342236	0.3884	0.268175	0.5178
5	0.472521		0.342236		0.268175	
*	0.48		0.35		0.27	
**	0.49		0.36		0.28	

Now we consider the matrix  $C(v) = A + v(B - B^{T})$  obtained by assuming in (5) that p = 1, q = 0, and K(x, x') = x - x' (sample problem 2). Here the matrix B is the nonnegative contribution due to K(x, x') for  $x \ge x'$ . The

#### **GIUSEPPE BUFFONI**

results are shown in Table 2. Values of v greater than  $v^*$ , for which some computed entries of Z(v) and of  $C^{-1}(v)$  are less than zero are reported in the rows \* and \*\*, respectively. We note that  $[0, v^*)$  is a sufficiently good approximation of the interval in which  $C^{-1}(v) > 0$ .

### Acknowledgments

I wish to thank Professor Walter Gautschi and a referee for their criticism and suggestions.

### BIBLIOGRAPHY

- 1. G. Buffoni and A. Galati, *Matrici essenzialmente positive con inversa positiva*, Boll. Un. Mat. Ital. (4) **10** (1974), 98–103.
- 2. K. Fan, Topological proofs for certain theorems on matrices with non-negative elements, Monatsh. Math. 62 (1958), 219-237.

3. J. R. Rice, Numerical methods, software and analysis, McGraw-Hill, 1983.

- 4. Y. M. Svirezhev and D. O. Logofet, Stability of biological communities, MIR, Moscow, 1983.
- 5. R. S. Varga, Matrix iterative analysis, Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N. J. 1965.

ENEA CREA S. Teresa, C. P. 316, I-19100 La Spezia, Italy